



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/698,843

10/31/2003

Eric Hammill

279.581US1

9309

21186

7590

12/26/2007

SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG & WOESSNER, P.A.

P.O. BOX 2938

MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402

EXAMINER

SMITH, TERRI L

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3762

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

12/26/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/698,843

Applicant(s)

HAMMILL ET AL.

Examiner

Terri L. Smith

Art Unit

3762

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Terri L. Smith.

(3) Tom Obermark.

(2) George Evanisko.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12 December 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 11 and 24.

Identification of prior art discussed: Yang et al., U.S. Patent Application Publication, Verness et al., U.S. Patent 6,285,910 and Verness, U.S. Patent Application Publication 2002/0099430.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiners and Applicant discussed the following: 1) the new draft claim limitations appear to read over the art of record, but a closer reading of the prior art is necessary; 2) the draft amendments should have support in the specification; and 3) the "means for detecting wear" should specifically be addressed and the elements corresponding to the means for detecting wear should be listed in the response.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

TS
12 December 2007

Angel D. Sykes

ANGELA D. SYKES
SUPERVISOR
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3760

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required